



Office of the Clerk  
**United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit**  
Post Office Box 193939  
San Francisco, California 94119-3939  
415-355-8000

Molly C. Dwyer  
Clerk of Court

May 19, 2022

---

No.: 22-15764  
D.C. No.: 3:20-cv-02155-LB  
Short Title: Caitlin Brice, et al v. Zoom Video Communications, Inc.

---

Dear Appellant/Counsel

A copy of your notice of appeal/petition has been received in the Clerk's office of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. The U.S. Court of Appeals docket number shown above has been assigned to this case. You must indicate this Court of Appeals docket number whenever you communicate with this court regarding this case.

Motions filed along with the notice of appeal in the district court are not automatically transferred to this court for filing. Any motions seeking relief from this court must be separately filed in this court's docket.

Please furnish this docket number immediately to the court reporter if you place an order, or have placed an order, for portions of the trial transcripts. The court reporter will need this docket number when communicating with this court.

**The due dates for filing the parties' briefs and otherwise perfecting the appeal have been set by the enclosed "Time Schedule Order," pursuant to applicable FRAP rules. These dates can be extended only by court order. Failure of the appellant to comply with the time schedule order will result in automatic dismissal of the appeal. 9th Cir. R. 42-1.**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

**FILED**

MAY 19 2022

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

CAITLIN BRICE; HEDDI N.  
CUNDLE; ANGELA DOYLE;  
ISABELLE GMEREK; KRISTEN  
HARTMANN; PETER HIRSHBERG;  
THERESE JIMENEZ; LISA T.  
JOHNSTON; OAK LIFE CHURCH;  
SAINT PAULUS LUTHERAN  
CHURCH; STACEY SIMINS,  
individually and on behalf of all others  
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs - Appellees,

v.

SAMMY RODGERS; ALVERY  
NEACE,

Objectors - Appellants,

v.

ZOOM VIDEO COMMUNICATIONS,  
INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 22-15764

D.C. No. 3:20-cv-02155-LB  
U.S. District Court for Northern  
California, San Francisco

**TIME SCHEDULE ORDER**

The parties shall meet the following time schedule.

If there were reported hearings, the parties shall designate and, if necessary, cross-designate the transcripts pursuant to 9th Cir. R. 10-3.1. If there were no reported hearings, the transcript deadlines do not apply.

<b>Thu., May 26, 2022</b>	Appellant's Mediation Questionnaire due. If your registration for Appellate CM/ECF is confirmed after this date, the Mediation Questionnaire is due within one day of receiving the email from PACER confirming your registration.
<b>Tue., June 21, 2022</b>	Transcript shall be ordered.
<b>Wed., July 20, 2022</b>	Transcript shall be filed by court reporter.
<b>Fri., August 26, 2022</b>	Appellant's opening brief and excerpts of record shall be served and filed pursuant to FRAP 31 and 9th Cir. R. 31-2.1.
<b>Mon., September 26, 2022</b>	Appellee's answering brief and excerpts of record shall be served and filed pursuant to FRAP 31 and 9th Cir. R. 31-2.1.

**The optional appellant's reply brief shall be filed and served within 21 days of service of the appellee's brief, pursuant to FRAP 31 and 9th Cir. R. 31-2.1.**

**Failure of the appellant to comply with the Time Schedule Order will result in automatic dismissal of the appeal. See 9th Cir. R. 42-1.**

FOR THE COURT:

MOLLY C. DWYER  
CLERK OF COURT

By: Ruben Talavera  
Deputy Clerk  
Ninth Circuit Rule 27-7